

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,494	06/30/2006	Christoph Matzig	MATZ3004/JEK	2909	
23364 T7500 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			EXAMINER		
			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		3653		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/19/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/550,494	MATZIG, CHRISTOPH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOSEPH C. RODRIGUEZ	3653		

	JOSEPH C. RODRIGUEZ	3653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 11 136a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SK (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expres SK (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply will be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply will be applicated in the communication. Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply will be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply will be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply will be application to be communication.							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	– action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortication is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🖾 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) 🖾 All b) 🗀 some * c) 🗀 None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)     Page Note (Mail 1988)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/550,494 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

The amendments filed 12/24/09 and 6/25/2010 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the amended language of paragraph 14 is new matter. In particular, the language "during the deposit or money receiving portion of the ongoing money deposit transaction" implies some limitation of the different portions of the transaction which was not present in the originally filed disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,494

Art Unit: 3653

Here, the amended claims 1 and 8 include the language objected to above and, as noted in the Advisory Action of 7/9/2010, this language appears to be redefining the deposit transaction so as to include the dispensing transaction. That is, Applicant defines the "money receiving portion" as a transaction as separate from and after the completion of the "deposit transaction" (see e.g., para. 7, 9 and Abstract stating that "bank notes being in a good state are made available to the depositor after the completion of the money deposit transaction". Consequently, the language "during a deposit or money receiving portion of the deposit transaction" is regarded as new matter as it is not supported by the specification as originally filed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding these claims, the claim language "deposit or money receiving portion of the ongoing deposit transaction" (claims 1, 8) is indefinite. In particular, the language "the money receiving portion" is indefinite. Applicant's remarks of 6/25/2010 describe the "money receiving portion" as separate from the dispensation action of the device, thus it would appear that "money receiving" refers to the various storage elements within the device. The specification, however, refers to the depositor as the receiving portion (para. 5), thus adding ambiguity to claim language.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,494

Art Unit: 3653

Examiner recommends clarifying the "money receiving portion" language to clearly differentiate that the money is being received by the device rather than by the depositor. Indeed, Examiner believes this would clarify the transactional boundaries between a deposit transaction that includes the act of inputting or inserting money as well as the money being received in various cassettes and pockets within the device from dispensation transaction when the depositor receives banknotes. This type of clarifying amendment would serve to alleviate the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection set forth above as well.

Examiner requests clarification and recommends amending the claims with language that clearly sets forth the claimed invention. In the interim, and in the interests of compact prosecution, the claims have been interpreted wherein the money receiving portion of the deposit transaction is regarded as the duration when the device cassettes and pockets are receiving banknotes that have been inputted.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,494

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Joseph C Rodriguez** whose telephone number is **571-272-3692** (M-F, 9 am – 6 pm, EST). The Supervisory Examiner is Stefanos Karmis, **571-273-6744**. The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-6300**.

The examiner's UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number is 571-273-3692.

Further, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>

Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (Toll Free).

/Joseph C Rodriguez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3653 Jcr

...

August 18, 2010